

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,681	04/12/2006	Beverly A. Piatt	13891US	4007
24116 BATTELLE M	7590 04/21/2010 IEMORIAL INSTITUT	EXAMINER		
505 KING AV	ENUE	HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
COLUMBUS,	OH 43201-2693		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/541,681	PIATT ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JAMES S. HOGAN	3752					

	JAMES S. HOGAN	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
The Ref. Filt. 0.3 Anii 2010 Filt. 3 OF PACE. This APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  When pays was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires om: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In oe event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fen. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explication date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two months	a of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ul>			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ected claims.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / inonamont (	102 024).					
	6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29 and 30. Claim(s) objected to: 6,21 and 27.								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 7-20, 22-26, 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fus provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/J. S. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner notes the amending of claims to incorporate previously objected-to subject matter, however the creation of new claims that are "substantially" mimicing former depending claims warrant further consideration as depencey errors are present in the claims (for example: new claim 38).